arXiv:math/9912165v1 [math.LO] 20 Dec 1999

E20 - A CONTINUATION OF [DJSH:691]

Saharon Shelah

Institute of Mathematics
The Hebrew University
Jerusalem, Israel

Rutgers University
Mathematics Department
New Brunswick, NJ USA

I would like to thank Alice Leonhardt for the beautiful typing.
First Typed - 98/Nov/23
Latest Revision - 99/Jan/19

§1

We continue to investigate club guessing, (see [Sh:g, Ch.III], [Sh:e, Ch.VI,§2]), continuing Dzamonja Shelah [DjSh 691].

1.1 Claim. *Assume*

(a) $\lambda > \kappa > \theta^+$ *are regular,* $\kappa \geq \sigma$

(b) $S_\theta \subseteq \{\delta < \lambda : cf(\delta) = \theta\}$ *is stationary*

(c) $S_\kappa \subseteq \{\delta < \lambda : cf(\delta) = \kappa\}$ *is stationary*

(d) $\bar{e} = \langle e_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle, e_\alpha \subseteq \alpha$ *a club* $(e_0 = \emptyset, e_{\alpha+1} = \{\alpha\})$

(e) *for every club* E *of* $\lambda, (\exists^{\text{stat}} \delta \in S_\kappa)[\delta = \sup(E \cap \ nacc(e_\delta))]$

(f) $\delta \in S_\kappa \Rightarrow (\exists^{\text{stat}} \alpha < \delta)(\alpha \in S_\theta \ \ \& \ \ e_\alpha = e_\delta \cap \alpha)$

(g) $S \subseteq \lambda$ *is unbounded not reflecting*[1]

(h) $\alpha \in S_\kappa \Rightarrow \ otp(e_\alpha) = \kappa.$

Then we can find club c^*_α *of* α *for* $\alpha \in S_\theta$ *such that*

(α) *for* $E \subseteq \lambda$ *club,* $A \subseteq S$ *unbounded we have* $\{\delta \in S_\kappa : \{\alpha \in e_\delta \cap S_\theta : \alpha = \sup(A \cap \ nacc(c^*_\alpha))\}$ *stationary in* $\delta\}$ *is stationary in* λ

(β) $\lambda = \mu^+ \Rightarrow \ otp(c^*_\alpha) < \mu^\omega.$

Before proving

1.2 Observation. : Each of the statements $(*)_1, (*)_2, (*)_3$ implies $\otimes$ where

$\otimes$ there is $g : S_\theta \rightarrow \sigma$ such that $S_{\kappa,g} \notin \ \text{id}^p(\bar{e} \restriction S_\kappa)$ where $S_{\kappa,g} = \{\delta \in S_\kappa :$ for every $\zeta < \sigma$ we have $\{\alpha \in e_\delta : \alpha \in S_\theta$ and $g(\alpha) = \zeta\}$ is stationary (in δ)$\}$

$(*)_1$ $\sigma^+ < \kappa$

$(*)_2$ $\kappa^\sigma < \lambda$

$(*)_3$ $= (*)^3_{\lambda,\kappa,\sigma}$ there is $\mathscr{P} \subseteq [\kappa]^\sigma$ of cardinality $< \lambda$ such that for every club E of κ for some $X \in \mathscr{P}$ we have $X \subseteq E$.

Proof. By guessing clubs ([Sh:g, Ch.III,§2]) or cardinal arithmetic, clearly $(*)_1 \Rightarrow (*)_3$ and $(*)_2 \Rightarrow (*)_3$ so assume $(*)_3$. Without loss of generality $X \in \mathscr{P} \Rightarrow \text{otp}(X) = \sigma$ and let $X = \{\gamma_{X,i} : i < \sigma\}, \gamma_{X,i}$ increasing with i; stipulate $\gamma_{X,\sigma} = \kappa$. For every limit ordinal $\alpha < \lambda$ let f_α be an increasing continuous function from $\text{cf}(\alpha)$ into α such that if $\alpha \in S_\kappa$ then $\text{Rang}(f_\alpha) = e_\alpha$. For $\xi < \theta$ an $X \in \mathscr{P}$ we define $g_{X,\xi} : S_\theta \rightarrow \sigma + 1$ as follows:

$$g_{X,\xi}(\delta) = \ \text{Min}\{i \leq \sigma : f_{\text{otp}(e_\delta)}(\xi) \geq \gamma_{X,i}\}$$

and define

$$S_{\kappa,X,\xi} = \big\{\delta \in S_\kappa : \text{for every } i < \sigma \text{ the set } \{\alpha \in E_\delta \cap S_\theta : g_{X,\xi}(\delta) = i\} \text{ is stationary in } \delta\big\}.$$

Now we shall prove that:

$\boxtimes_1$ for some $\xi < \theta$ and $X \in \mathscr{P}$ we have $S_{\kappa,X,\xi} \notin \mathrm{id}^p(\bar{e} \restriction S_\kappa)$ i.e. for every club of λ, $(\exists^{\text{stat}}\delta \in S_{\kappa,X,\xi})(\delta = \sup[E \cap \text{ nacc}(e_\delta)])$.

Why? If not then for every $\xi < \theta, X \in \mathscr{P}$ for some club $E_{\xi,X}$ of $\lambda, \{\delta \in S_{\kappa,X,\xi} : \delta = \sup(E \cap \text{ nacc}(e_\delta))\}$ is not stationary so without loss of generality $\delta \in S_{\kappa,X,\xi} \Rightarrow \delta > \sup(E \cap \text{ nacc}(e_\delta))$. Let $E =: \cap\{E_{\xi,X} : \xi < \theta \text{ and } X \in \mathscr{P}\}$, so E is a club of λ hence for some $\delta \in S_\kappa, \delta = \sup(E \cap \text{ nacc}(e_\delta))$.

Let $s = \{\alpha < \kappa : \text{cf}(\alpha) = \theta \text{ and } f_\delta(\alpha) \in S_\theta, e_{f_\delta(\alpha)} = e_\delta \cap f_\delta(\alpha)\}$, so by an assumption, the set s is stationary, so by Fodour and Ulam, for some $\xi < \theta$ we have:

$$A_{\delta^*,\xi} = \{\gamma < \kappa : (\exists^{\text{stat}}\alpha \in s)(f_\alpha(\xi) = \gamma)\}$$

is unbounded in κ.
Let $C = \{\alpha < \kappa : \alpha \text{ limit and } \alpha = \sup(\alpha \cap A_{\delta^*,\xi}\}$, it is a club of κ. Hence for some $X \in \mathscr{P}, X \subseteq C$. It is easy to check that δ contradicts the choice of $E_{\xi,X}$ which is $\subseteq E$; so $\boxtimes$ really holds.

Fix $(\xi^*, X^*) \in \kappa \times \mathscr{P}$ as in $\boxtimes$ and let $g^* = g_{\xi^*,X^*}$. It is easy to check that g^* is as required. $\square_{1.2}$

Proof of 1.1. Let for $\alpha < \lambda, C_\alpha$ be a club of α such that $C_{\alpha+1} = \{\alpha\}, C_0 = \emptyset$ and $[\alpha \text{ limit } \notin A \Rightarrow \text{ acc}(C_\alpha) \cap A = \emptyset]$.

For $\alpha \in S_\theta \cup S_\kappa$ let $e_{\alpha,n}$ be defined by

$$e_{\alpha,0} = e_\alpha$$

$$e_{\alpha,n+1} = e_{\alpha,n} \cup \big\{\beta : \text{for some } \gamma_0 \in e_{\alpha,n} \text{ letting } \gamma_1 = \text{ Min}(e_{\alpha,n} \backslash (\gamma_0 + 1)) \text{ we have } \beta \in (\gamma_0, \gamma_1), \beta \in C_{\gamma_1}.\big\}$$

Note

$\boxtimes_2$ if $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in S_\theta \cup S_\kappa, e_{\delta_1} = e_{\delta_2} \cap \delta_1, \delta_1 \in e_{\delta_2}$ then $\bigwedge\limits_n [e_{\delta_1,n} = e_{\delta_2,n} \cap \delta_1]$.

Note

$\odot$ if $A \subseteq S$ and $\beta \in A \cap \delta \backslash e_\delta, \delta \in S_\theta$ then for some $n < \omega$ we have $\beta \in \text{nacc}(e_{\delta,n})$
[Why? Check.]

For $\delta \in S_\theta$ let $c^*_\delta = e_{\delta,g^*(\delta)}$ if $g^*(\delta) < \omega$ and e_δ if $g^*(\delta) \geq \omega$. We shall show that $\langle c^*_\delta : \delta \in S_\theta \rangle$ is as required. The bound on order type if $\lambda = \mu^+$ should be clear.

Let E be a club of λ and $A \subseteq S$ be such that: $\alpha \in S \backslash A \Rightarrow A \cap \text{ acc}(e_\alpha) = 0$; clearly $E' = \{\delta \in E : \delta \text{ a limit ordinal } > \kappa \text{ and otp}|A \cap \delta| = 0\}$ is a club of E, so for some $\delta^* \in S_{\kappa,X^*,\xi^*}$ we have $\delta^* = \sup(E' \cap \text{ nacc}(e_{\delta^*}))$. By $\bigodot$ for some $n^* < \omega, \delta^* = \sup(A \cap \text{ nacc}(e_{\delta^*,n^*}))$ hence $t = \{\alpha \in e_{\delta^*} \cap S_\theta : e_\alpha = e_{\delta^*} \cap \alpha$ and $g_{X^*,\xi^*}(\alpha) = n^*\}$ is stationary in δ^* hence $t^* = \{\alpha \in t : \alpha = \sup(A \cap \text{ nacc}(e_{\delta^*,n^*}))\}$ is stationary in δ^* but for $\alpha \in t^*$ necessarily $\alpha = \sup(A \cap \text{nacc}(e_{\delta^*,n^*})) = (A \cap \text{ nacc}(e_{\alpha,n^*}) = \sup(A \cap \text{ nacc}(C^*_\alpha))$, so we are done. $\square_{1.1}$

1.3 Claim. *Let $\lambda, \kappa, \theta, S_\kappa, S_\theta$ satisfy clauses (a),(b),(c),(g) from 1.1 and (f), i.e. $[\delta \in S_\kappa \Rightarrow \delta \cap S_\theta$ stationary in $\delta]$.*
Then for some $\bar{e}$ the assumption (d),(e),(h) (hence the conclusion of 1.1) holds if at least one of the following occurs:

- (A) *λ is a successor of regular,*
  $\kappa < \lambda, \kappa = \textit{ cf}(\kappa) > \theta + \aleph_1, \textit{cf}(\theta) = \theta$
- (B) *λ is a successor of regulars, $\aleph_1 = \kappa < \lambda, \theta = \aleph_0, 2^{\aleph_0} < \lambda$*
- (C) *λ is a successor of a singular, $\theta < \kappa$ regular $< \lambda$ and* $\text{cf}(\lambda^-) \notin \{\theta, \kappa\}$
  $S_\kappa \in I[\lambda]$ and: λ is strong limit or at least $(\forall \alpha < \lambda^-)(|\alpha|^\theta \leq \lambda^*)$.

Proof. If (A) or (B) holds, we use the square on successor of a regular (exists by [Sh 351, §4] or [Sh:e, Ch.III,§3.x]) to find $S^+ \subseteq \lambda$ on which we have square, $S^+ \cap S_\kappa$ stationary and continue as usual, (see [Sh:g, Ch.III,2.14(3),(4)] or [Sh:e, Ch.III,3.x]) to get also club guessing. If (C), for the existence of $\langle e_\alpha : \alpha \in S_\theta \cup S_\kappa \rangle$ as required see (x.x) (or just let $\lambda = \mu^+, \langle \mu_\varepsilon : \varepsilon < \text{ cf}(\mu) \rangle$ be increasing continuous with limit $\mu, \mu_0 > \kappa$, we can find $\bar{a} = \langle a_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ such that $a_\alpha \subseteq \alpha$, $\text{otp}(a_\alpha) \leq \kappa, \beta \in a_\alpha \Rightarrow a_\beta = a_\alpha \cap \beta$ and for some club E of λ we have $\delta \in S \cap E \Rightarrow \alpha = \sup(a_\alpha)$).
Let C_α be $\alpha \cap$ closure(a_α) for each $\beta > 0, \beta \in S_\theta$ let $\mathscr{P}_\beta = \{c_\alpha \cap \beta : \beta \in c_\alpha, \alpha < \lambda^+\}$ be lised as $\langle d_{\beta,\zeta} : \zeta < \mu \rangle$, possible as $|\mathscr{P}_\beta| \leq \mu$.
For $\alpha \in S \cap E$ let $h_\alpha : c_\alpha \cap S_\theta \rightarrow \mu$ be defined by $h_\alpha(\beta) = \text{ Min}\{\varepsilon < \text{ cf}(\mu) : c_\alpha \cap \beta = d_{\beta,\varepsilon}\}$.

Now we use the following strengthening of [EK], see ([xx])

> $\otimes$ if $\text{cf}(\mu) \neq \theta = \text{ cf}(\theta), \lambda = \mu^+, (\forall \alpha < \mu)(|\alpha|^\theta < \mu)$ then we can find $f_\alpha \in {}^\lambda\mu$ for $\alpha < \mu$ such that for every $A \in [\lambda]^\theta$ and $f \in {}^A\mu$ for some $\alpha < \mu$ we have $|\{i \in A : f(i) = f_\alpha(i)\}| = \theta$.

So for some ζ letting $e_\beta = \beta \cap$ closure$(d_{\beta,f_\zeta(\beta)})$, we are done. hfill$\square_{1.3}$

1.4 Claim. *Assume clauses (a)-(g) of 1.1 and*

- $(e)^+$ *$S_\kappa \notin id^a(\bar{e} \restriction S_\kappa)$ (easy to get, by minor corrections follows from (e) by intersecting with a suitable clue using (h)*

Then we can strengthen the conclusion in 1.2 to

- $(*)_\tau$ *if* $A_\varepsilon \subseteq S$ *for* $\varepsilon < \tau$ *and* E *a club of* λ, *then for stationarily many* $\delta \in S_\kappa$ *for stationarily many* $\alpha \in S_\theta \cap e_\delta$ *we have* $e_\alpha = e_\delta \cap \alpha$ *and* $\alpha = \sup(A_\varepsilon \cap nacc(e^*_\alpha))$ *for* $\varepsilon < \tau$.

Proof. The difference concerns the use of $e_{\alpha,n}$. We define $e_{\alpha,n}$ as before and then define $e_{\alpha,h}$ for every $\alpha \in S_\theta$ and $h \in {}^\tau\omega$ as follows:

$$e_{\alpha,h} = e_\alpha \cup \big\{(\gamma_0,\gamma_1) \cap e_{\alpha,n} : \gamma_0 < \gamma_1 \text{ are successive members of } e_\alpha \text{ and } \gamma_0 = i \bmod \tau \ \ \& \ \ i < \tau \Rightarrow n = h(i)\big\}.$$

Let $\{h_\zeta : \zeta < \aleph_0^\tau\}$ list ${}^\tau\omega$ and let $g : S_\theta \to \sigma$ be as in the previous proof and lastly $c^*_\alpha = e_{\alpha,(h_{g(\alpha)})}$. The rest should be clear. $\square_{1.4}$

1.5 Remark. 1) If we restrict ourselves to stationary $A \subseteq S$, then for club E we can demand $\alpha = \sup(A \cap E \cap \text{ nacc}(c^*_\alpha))$ as we can work on A.

1.6 Claim. *Assume*

- (a) $\lambda > \kappa > \theta$ *are regular,* $\kappa > \sigma \geq \sigma_1 \geq \aleph_0^\tau$
- (b) $S_\theta \subseteq \{\delta < \lambda : cf(\delta) = \theta\}$ *stationary*
- (c) $S_\kappa \subseteq \{\delta < \lambda : cf(\delta) = \kappa$ *and* $S_\theta \cap \delta$ *is stationary*$\}$ *is stationary*
- (d) $\bar{e}^* = \langle e^*_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\rangle, e^*_\alpha \subseteq \alpha, e^*_{\alpha+1} = \{\alpha\}, \alpha$ *limit* $\Rightarrow e^*_\alpha$ *a club of* α
- (e) $S \subseteq \lambda$ *and* $\bar{e}^*$ *runs away from* S *which means* $\alpha \in \lambda \backslash S \ \ \& \ \ \alpha$ *limit* $\Rightarrow S \cap \ acc(e^*_\alpha) = \emptyset$ *so this implies: S does not reflect outside itself*
- (f) $(*)^3_{\lambda,\sigma,\sigma_1}$ *from 1.2.*

Then we can find $\bar{c}^* = \langle c^*_\alpha : \alpha \in S_\theta\rangle$ *such that*

- (α) c^*_α *a club of* α
- (β) *if* $A_\varepsilon \subseteq S$ *is unbounded for* $\varepsilon < \tau$ *and runs away from* $\bar{e}^*$, *then for stationarily many* $\delta \in S_\kappa$, *for stationarily many* $\alpha < \delta$, *we have* $\bigwedge\limits_{\varepsilon<\tau} \alpha = \sup(A_\varepsilon \cap \ nacc(c^*_\alpha))$.

Proof. For limit $\alpha < \lambda$ let $\langle \gamma_{\alpha,\varepsilon} : \varepsilon < \text{ cf}(\alpha)\rangle$ be increasing continuous with limit α. By Fodor and Ulam for every $\delta \in S_\kappa$ there are $\xi_\delta < \theta$ and $\gamma_\delta < \delta$ such that

- $\odot_1$ $\text{cf}(\gamma_\delta) = \sigma$
- $\odot_2$ for every $\gamma < \gamma_\delta$ the set $\{\alpha \in S_\theta \cap \delta : \gamma_{\alpha,\xi_\delta} \in (\gamma,\gamma_\delta)\}$ is stationary in δ

By Fodor for some γ^*, ξ^* we have

$$S^*_\kappa = \{\delta \in S_\kappa : \xi_\delta = \xi^* \text{ and } \gamma_\delta = \gamma^*\} \text{ is stationary in } \lambda.$$

For every $X \in \mathscr{P}$ (from $(*)^3_{\lambda,\sigma,\sigma_1}$) letting $X = \{\beta_{X,i} : i < \sigma_1\}$, we let $g_X : S_\theta \to \sigma_1 + 1$ be $g_X(\alpha) = i$ iff $\gamma_{\alpha,\xi^*} \in [\beta_{X,i}, \beta_{X,i+1})$ and σ_1 if no such X.
Now for some $X = X^*$

> $\bigodot_3$ for every club E of λ for stationarily many $\delta \in S^*_\kappa$, for every $i < \sigma_1$ for stationarily many $\alpha \in S_\theta \cap \delta$ (stationary in δ!) we have $g_{X^*}(\alpha) = i$.

Now we continue as before. $\square_{1.6}$

REFERENCES.

[DjSh 691] Mirna Džamonja and Saharon Shelah. Weak reflection at successors of singulars. *Preprint.*

[EK] Ryszard Engelking and Monika Karłowicz. Some theorems of set theory and their topological consequences. *Fundamenta Math.*, **57**:275–285, 1965.

[Sh:e] Saharon Shelah. *Non–structure theory*, accepted. Oxford University Press.

[Sh 351] Saharon Shelah. Reflecting stationary sets and successors of singular cardinals. *Archive for Mathematical Logic*, **31**:25–53, 1991.

[Sh:g] Saharon Shelah. *Cardinal Arithmetic*, volume 29 of *Oxford Logic Guides.* Oxford University Press, 1994.